

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/774,888		02/01/2001		Jun Koyama	740756-2255	3194	
	22204	7590	07/30/2004		EXAMINER WEISS, HOWARD		_
	NIXON PEA		•				
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			V		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON DC 20004-2128				2814		

DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/774,888	KOYAMA ET AL.					
navicery neuen	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
	Howard Weiss	2814	Bu				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	• • •						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5 ☒ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w		and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	;						
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 75-96.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	oroved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statementon. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	Howard Weiss					
		Examiner Art Unit: 2814					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicants' arguments were not persuasive. In particular, Koyama specifies that the device is formed on the same substrate (Column 3 Line 57). In view of these reasons and those set forth in the final office action, the rejections of the stated claims stand..